arXiv:1202.1872v1 [math.MG] 9 Feb 2012

Every finite complex has the homology of some CAT(0) cubical duality group.*

Raeyong Kim

February 10, 2012

Abstract

For any finite simplicial complex X, we construct a locally CAT(0) cubical complex T_X and an acyclic map $t_X : T_X \to X$ such that $\pi_1(T_X)$ is a duality group.

1 Introduction

In [6], D. Kan and W. Thurston showed that every simplicial complex X has the homology of some aspherical space T_X. Equivalently, every connected simplicial complex X has the homology of a classifying space for some group G. Baumslag, Dyer and Heller improved this result that T_X can be chosen to be a finite simplicial complex if X is finite. See [1]. Originally, T_X was uncountable if X had dimension ≥ 2. This theorem has also been generalized in a number of different ways. In particular, related to this paper, Hausmann proved in [5] that one can take G to be a duality group if X is finite. Recently, Leary gave a new approach to the theorem of Kan and Thurston by using metric geometry, namely, a classifying space for G can be realized as a locally CAT(0) cubical complex. See [7]. Our goal is to prove that if X is a connected finite simplicial complex, then one can take a duality group G whose classifying space can be realized as a locally $CAT(0)$ cubical complex.

In order to state the main theorem, we recall the following definitions. A group G is said to be of type FP if $\mathbb{Z}$, as a trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$-module, has a finite projective resolution over $\mathbb{Z}G$. A group G of type FP is called a duality group of dimension n if, for any abelian group A, $H^i(G:\mathbb{Z}G \otimes A) = 0$ for $i \neq n$. See [4] for details.

A geodesic metric space is said to be CAT(0) if any geodesic triangle is at least as thin as the comparison triangle in the Euclidean plane having the same side lengths. A geodesic space is called locally CAT(0) (or *nonpositively curved*) if every point has a CAT(0) neighborhood. See [3] for further details.

Our main theorem is as follows.

---

[*] 2010 *AMS Subject Classification* 55P20, 20F67 (57P10, 20J05)

Theorem 1 *For any finite simplicial complex X, there exists a finite locally* $\mathrm{CAT}(0)$ *cubical complex T_X and a map $t_X : T_X \to X$ such that $\pi_1(T_X)$ is a duality group and t_X is acyclic.*

If X is connected, T_X is a model of a classifying space for the group $\pi_1(T_X)$. So Theorem 1 says that every finite connected simplicial complex has the same homology as a classifying space for some duality group. Our proof is close to that in [5] and [8]. But we use various gluing lemmas to stay within the class of locally CAT(0) spaces.

In section 2, we introduce two duality groups of dimension 2 and corresponding 2-complexes, which can be realized as locally CAT(0) cubical complexes. From these two locally CAT(0) cubical complexes, in section 3, we construct a sequence of locally CAT(0) acyclic cubical complexes and show that their fundamental groups are all duality groups. In section 4, we use the previous construction to prove our main theorem.

I would like to thank my thesis advisor Ian Leary for his guidance throughout this research project. I also would like to thank Jean Lafont for his careful reading of an earlier version of this paper.

2 Two 2-complexes

Leary introduced the notion of tesselated n-gon made out of unit squares and applied Gromov's criterion to determine whether or not it is CAT(0). By using various tesselated CAT(0) n-gons, he gave some constructions for locally CAT(0) square complexes (See [7] for further details). The following group can be found in Proposition 3 in [7].

Let H be the group presented on generators a, b, c, d, e, f subject to the following 6-relators :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} abcdef, & ab^{-1}c^2f^{-1}e^2d^{-1}, & a^2fc^2bed, \\ ad^{-2}cb^{-2}ef^{-1}, & ad^2cf^2eb^2, & af^{-2}cd^{-1}eb^{-2} \end{array}$$

Then the corresponding presentation 2-complex, denoted by X_2, can be realized as a non-positively curved square complex and it follows that H is non-trivial, torsion-free and acyclic. More specifically, X_2 can be constructed by one 0-cell, six loops and six 2-cells, where each loop consists of four 1-cells. We point out that each loop is a closed geodesic.

Lemma 2 *H is a duality group of dimension 2.*

Proof. Let A be an abelian group. Since H is infinite, $H^0(H{:}\mathbb{Z}H \otimes A) = 0$.

For $H^1(H{:}\mathbb{Z}H \otimes A)$, we consider the link of vertex $lk(v, X_2)$ and the punctured link of vertex $Plk(v, X_2)$ in X_2. A direct observation verifies that $lk(v, X_2)$ and $Plk(v, X_2)$ are connected for any vertex v, so, by [2], the universal cover $\widetilde{X_2}$ of X_2 is connected at infinity. It follows that H is 1-ended.

Since $H^1(H{:}\mathbb{Z}H \otimes A) \cong H^1(H{:}\mathbb{Z}H) \otimes A$ and $H^1(H{:}\mathbb{Z}H)$is a free abelian group of rank $e - 1$, where e is the number of ends of the group, $H^1(H{:}\mathbb{Z}H \otimes A) = 0$.

∎

Remark 3 The result of [2] is much more general. Brady and Meier established sufficient conditions ensuring the higher connectivity at infinity and semistablity for locally finite CAT(0) cubical complexes. In particular, they described the higher connectivity at infinity of right angled Artin groups and determined which right angled Artin groups are duality groups.

We introduce the other group mentioned in the introduction. Let K be the group presented on generators v, w, x, y subject to a single relator $[v, w][x, y]y^{-1}$. As a one-relator group, K is a duality group of dimension 2. (See [5, section 2].)

Lemma 4 *The presentation 2-complex corresponding to K may be realized as a non-positively curved square complex.*

Proof. Use a construction in [7, section 2] to get a tesselated CAT(0) octagon with side lengths (4,4,4,4,4,4,4,8). One can construct the presentation 2-complex with one 0-cell, four loops and one 2-cell, where each loop consists of four 1-cells and verify that each loop is a closed geodesic. By a direct calculation, all the vertex links are flag, so, by Gromov's criterion, the presentation 2-complex can be realized as a non-positively curved square complex. ▪

3 Some acyclic complexes

In this section, we construct a locally CAT(0) acyclic cubical complex $X_n, n \geq 2$ whose fundamental group is a duality group.

Proposition 5 *For $n \geq 2$, there is a locally* CAT(0) *cubical complex X_n satisfying*

- *X_n is acyclic.*
- *X_n is isometrically embedded in X_{n+1} as a closed subcomplex.*
- *$\pi_1(X_n)$ is a duality group of dimension n.*
- *$\pi_1(X_n) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(X_{n+1})$.*

Proof. Let W be the presentation 2-complex corresponding to K, constructed in lemma 4. From now on, we abuse symbols $a, b, \cdots, f$ and $v, \cdots, y$ for our purpose. They will either represent loops in X_2 and W, or group elements in H and K, respectively. Define

$$Y = W \underset{x=f}{\cup} X_2, \quad Z_v = Y \underset{w=f}{\cup} X_2, \quad Z_w = Y \underset{v=f}{\cup} X_2$$

Then one has

1. Y, Z_v and Z_w are locally CAT(0) cubical complexes. Each loop (of length 4) is closed, locally convex. So gluing lemma [3, Proposition 11.6] applies.
2. $\pi_1(Y), \pi_1(Z_v)$ and $\pi_1(Z_w)$ are duality groups of dimension 2. The amalgamated free product of two duality groups of dimension n along a duality group of dimension $n-1$ is a duality group of dimension n.

3. $H_1(Y{:}\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, generated by v and w.

   $H_1(Z_v{:}\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, generated by v.

   $H_1(Z_w{:}\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, generated by w.

4. $H_2(Y{:}\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(Z_v{:}\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(Z_w{:}\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Let S^1 be a circle consisting of four 1-cells and four 0-cells. Consider $j_c : S^1 \to X_2$ mapping S^1 simplicially onto the loop c and $j_v : S^1 \to Z_v$ mapping S^1 simplicially onto the loop v. Define U_v to be the quotient of $(S^1 \times Z_v) \coprod (X_2 \times S^1)$ by the equivalence relation generated by $[(s, j_v(s')) \sim (j_c(s), s'), \forall s, s' \in S^1]$. Similarly, define U_w to be the quotient of $(S^1 \times Z_w) \coprod (X_2 \times S^1)$ by the equivalence relation generated by $[(s, j_w(s')) \sim (j_c(s), s'), \forall s, s' \in S^1]$, where $j_w : S^1 \to Z_w$ is the map sending S^1 onto the loop w.

By the gluing lemma, U_v and U_w are locally CAT(0) cubical complexes. Since $\pi_1(S^1 \times Z_v), \pi_1(S^1 \times Z_w)$ and $\pi_1(X_2 \times S^1)$ are duality groups of dimension 3 and $\pi_1(S^1 \times S^1)$ is a duality group of dimension 2, $\pi_1(U_v)$ and $\pi_1(U_w)$ are duality group of dimension 3. Using two inclusions $i_v : Y \hookrightarrow Z_v \hookrightarrow U_v$ and $i_w : Y \hookrightarrow Z_w \hookrightarrow U_w$, define X_3 to be

$$X_3 = U_v \bigcup_{Y} U_w$$

Then X_3 has the following properties :

1. X_3 is a locally CAT(0) cubical complex.

2. X_3 is acyclic and $\pi_1(X_3)$ is a duality group of dimension 3.

3. $\pi_1(X_2) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(X_3)$.

4. X_2 is isometrically embedded in X_3 as a closed subcomplex.

Now suppose, by induction, that X_i is constructed for $2 \leq i \leq n-1, n \geq 4$. Let $j_f : S^1 \to X_2$ be the map sending S^1 onto the loop f. Using j_f and the inclusion $i_{n-1} : X_{n-2} \to X_{n-1}$, define X_n to be the quotient of $(X_2 \times X_{n-2}) \coprod (S^1 \times X_{n-1})$ by the equivalence relation generated by $[(j_f(s), x) \sim (s, i_{n-1}(x)), \forall s \in S^1\, \forall x \in X_{n-2}]$.

Then X_n satisfies all the property of the proposition. ▪

4 Proof of the Theorem

In this section, we construct two functors to prove the main theorem.

For given a finite complex X, let $S(X)$ be the category whose objects are subcomplexes and morphisms are inclusions. For nonnegative integer n, let $\mathbf{C}(n)$ be the category whose objects are compact locally CAT(0) cubical complexes such that the fundamental group of each component is a duality group of dimension n. Morphisms are isometric embeddings as closed subcomplexes.

Theorem 6 *Let X be a finite complex. Then there exist n, depending on X, and two functors*

$$L : S(X) \to \mathbf{C}(n), \qquad M : S(X) \to \mathbf{C}(n)$$

satisfying

1. *For each connected subcomplex Y, there is an acyclic map $\beta_Y : L(Y) \to Y$.*
2. *For any subcomplex Y, $M(Y)$ is acyclic.*
3. *There is a natural transformation from L to M, i.e. for any subcomplex Y, $L(Y)$ is isometrically embedded into $M(Y)$ and if $Y' \subset Y$, then the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L(Y') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M(Y') \arrow[d] \\
L(Y) \arrow[r] & M(Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remark 7 Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of the above, taking $L(X)$ for T_X.

Proof. The proof uses an induction on m_X, the number of simplices of X of positive dimension.

1. $m_X = 0$

   In this case, X is discrete.

   For any subset Y of X, let $L(Y) = Y, M(Y) = CY$, the cone on Y, and β_Y be the identity.

2. Suppose that (n, L, M, β) is constructed for X and let $\overline{X} = X \cup e$, where e is a simplex of positive dimension. We then construct $(\overline{n}, \overline{L}, \overline{M}, \overline{\beta})$ for $\overline{X}$.

   (a) For a subcomplex Y of $\overline{X}$, define

   $$L_1(Y) = \begin{cases} L(Y) \times X_3, & Y \subset X \\ (L(Y \cap X) \times X_3) \cup_{L(\partial e) \times X_2} (M(\partial e) \times X_3), & e \subset Y \end{cases}$$

   If $Y \subset X$, then $L_1(Y)$ is a locally CAT(0) cubical complex as a product of two locally CAT(0) complexes. Suppose $e \subset Y$. By induction, $L(\partial e) \times X_2$ is a closed, isometrically embedded subcomplex of $L(Y \cap X) \times X_3$ and $M(\partial e) \times X_3$. Simple gluing lemma implies that $L_1(Y)$ is a locally CAT(0) cubical complex. Also $\pi_1(L_1(Y))$ is a duality group of dimension $n + 3$, as it is an amalgamated free product of two duality groups of dimension $n + 3$ along a duality group of dimension $n + 2$. This shows that $L_1(Y) \in \mathbf{C}(n + 3)$.

   At this step, M_1 will be defined only for $Y \subset X$ by

   $$M_1(Y) = M(Y) \times X_3$$

   Notations

$$L_1(\mathring{e}) = M(\partial e) \times X_3, \qquad \hat{L}_1(\partial e) = L(\partial e) \times X_2$$

Note that $L_1(\mathring{e})$ and $\hat{L}_1(\partial e)$ are locally CAT(0) cubical complexes and $\hat{L}_1(\partial e)$ is isometrically embedded in $L_1(\mathring{e})$ as a closed subcomplex.

(b) For $e \subset \overline{X}$, define

$$\check{M}_2(e) = (\hat{L}_1(\partial e) \times X_3) \cup_{\hat{L}_1(\partial e)\times X_2} (L_1(\mathring{e}) \times X_2)$$

Then $\check{M}_2(e) \in \mathbf{C}(n+5)$. In order to keep the notation coherent, we introduce

i. $L_2(Y) = L_1(Y) \times X_2$, for $Y \subset X$. Then $L_2(Y) \in \mathbf{C}(n+5)$

ii. $M_2(Y) = M_1(Y) \times X_2$, for $Y \subset X$. Then $M_2(Y) \in \mathbf{C}(n+5)$

iii. $\hat{L}_2(\partial e) = \hat{L}_1(\partial e) \times X_2 \in \mathbf{C}(n+4)$

iv. $L_2(\mathring{e}) = L_1(\mathring{e}) \times X_2 \in \mathbf{C}(n+5)$

Using the notations introduced above, we have

$$\check{M}_2(e) = (\hat{L}_1(\partial e) \times X_3) \cup_{\hat{L}_2(\partial e)} L_2(\mathring{e})$$

From the two inclusions $i_0 : \hat{L}_2(\partial e) \hookrightarrow M_2(\partial e)$, $i_4 : \hat{L}_2(\partial e) \hookrightarrow L_2(\mathring{e})$, consider the following double mapping cylinder

$$K = M_2(\partial e) \underset{i_0}{\cup} (\hat{L}_2(\partial e) \times [0,4]) \underset{i_4}{\cup} L_2(\mathring{e})$$

We define the map ϕ from K to $\check{M}_2(e)$ as follows.

- ϕ maps $M_2(\partial e)$ and $L_2(\mathring{e})$ to $L_2(\mathring{e}) \subset \check{M}_2(e)$.
- Recall, in Proposition 5, $\pi_1(X_2) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(X_3)$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the loop c. ϕ maps the cylinder $\hat{L}_2(\partial e) \times [0,4] = \hat{L}_1(\partial e) \times X_2 \times [0,4]$ to $\hat{L}_1(\partial e) \times X_2 \times \{c\} \subset \hat{L}_1(\partial e) \times X_3$.

Note that K and $\check{M}_2(e)$ are compact and ϕ is a local isometry. Hence by Gluing with a Tube [3, Proposition 11.13], the mapping cylinder by ϕ is also a locally CAT(0) cubical complex. Denote this mapping cylinder by $M_2(e)$. Since $M_2(e)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\check{M}_2(e)$, $M_2(e) \in \mathbf{C}(n+5)$.

(c) For each subcomplex Y of $\overline{X}$, define

$$M_3(Y) = \begin{cases} M_2(Y) \times X_3, & Y \subset X \\ (M_2(Y \cap X) \times X_3) \cup_{M_2(\partial e)\times X_2} (M_2(e) \times X_3), & e \subset Y \end{cases}$$

Note that the inclusion of $M_2(\partial e)$ into $M_2(e)$ was explained above. Let $\check{L}_2(\mathring{e}) = \hat{L}_2(\partial e) \times [0,4] \cup_{i_4} L_2(\mathring{e}) \subset K$. For each Y in $\overline{X}$,

$$L_3(Y) = \begin{cases} L_2(Y) \times X_2, & Y \subset X \\ (L_2(Y \cap X) \times X_2) \cup_{\hat{L}_2(\partial e)\times X_2} (\check{L}_2(\mathring{e}) \times X_2), & e \subset Y \end{cases}$$

If $Y \subset X$, then it is obvious, by induction, that $L_3(Y)$ is isometrically embedded in $M_3(Y)$ as a closed subcomplex.

If $e \subset Y$, then $L_3(Y)$ is isometrically embedded in $M_3(Y)$, because all the geodesic connecting points in $L_2(Y \cap X) \times X_2$ and points in $\check{L}_2(\mathring{e}) \times X_2$ must pass through $\hat{L}_2(\partial e) \times X_2$, and $L_2(Y \cap X) \times X_2$ and $\check{L}_2(\mathring{e}) \times X_2$ are isometically embedded in $M_2(Y \cap X) \times X_3$ and $M_2(e) \times X_3$, respectively. This verifies that (L_3, M_3) satisfies the condition 3.

Let Y be a connected subcomplex of $\overline{X}$.

If $Y \subset X$, then $L_3(Y) = L(Y) \times X_3 \times X_2 \times X_2$. By induction, there is an acyclic map from $L_3(Y)$ to Y. If $e \subset Y$, one can easily obtain an acyclic map from $L_1(Y)$ to Y. Following the notations introduced above, this acyclic map extends to an acyclic map from $L_3(Y)$ to Y. This verifies that (L_3, M_3) satisfies condition 1.

Condition 2 can be verified by using Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

Hence, (L_3, M_3) satisfies all the conditions we want. But, note that L_3 is a functor to $\mathbf{C}(n+7)$ and M_3 is a functor to $\mathbf{C}(n+8)$.

In order for $\overline{L}$ and $\overline{M}$ to be functors to the same class $\mathbf{C}(\overline{n})$, we modify L_3 and M_3 and get $\overline{L}$ and $\overline{M}$ as follows.

For each Y of $\overline{X}$, define $\overline{L}(Y) = L_3(Y) \times X_3$.

Consider two inclusions $k_1 : L_3(Y) \to M_3(Y)$ and $k_2 : X_2 \to X_3$. Define $\overline{M}$ to be the quotient of $(M_3 \times X_2) \coprod \overline{L}(Y)$ by the equivalence relation generated by $[(k_1(y), x) \sim (y, k_2(x)), \forall x \in X_2 \, \forall y \in L_3(Y)]$.

Then $\overline{L}$ and $\overline{M}$ are functors to the same class $\mathbf{C}(n+10)$ and satisfy all the conditions in the statement.

■

Remark 8 In the proof of Theorem 6, n gets increased by 10 as a simplex of positive dimension is attached to X. In this way, one can give a very weak upper bound for the minimal dimension $n(X)$ of a duality group obtained from X. If $m(X)$ is the number of simplices of positive dimension, $n(X) \leq 10m(X) - 7$. Note that (L_3, M_3) is enough at the last stage. This upper bound was given in [5] and we don't get any improvements in this paper.

References

[1] G. Baumslag, E. Dyer, and A. Heller. The topology of discrete groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 16(1):1–47, 1980.

[2] N. Brady and I. Meier. Connectivity at infinity for right angled artin groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(1):117–132, 2001.

[3] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences].* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.

[4] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.

[5] J.-C. Hausmann. Every finite complex has the homology of a duality group. *Math. Ann.*, 275(2):327–336, 1986.

[6] D. Kan and W. Thurston. Every connected space has the homology of a $K(\pi, 1)$. *Topology*, 15(3):253–258, 1976.

[7] I. Leary. A metric Kan-Thurston theorem. *arXiv:1009.1540v2*.

[8] C. R. F. Maunder. A short proof of a theorem of Kan and Thurston. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 13(4):325–327, 1981.

Raeyong Kim:
Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 West 18th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210-1174, United States.
`kimr@math.ohio-state.edu`